## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## GEORGE J. AUSTIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-00800-JCS

RDER GRANTING APPLICATION DCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ID SEALING DOCUMENTS FILED IN SUPPORT

Re: Dkt. Nos. 3, 5

Plaintiff George J. Austin, pro se, applied to proceed in forma pauperis. On February 5, 2020, the Court issued an order show cause why that application should not be denied for failure to provide necessary information, see dkt. 4, and Austin filed a response on February 14, 2020, see dkt. 5. Good cause having been shown, Austin's application to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. The sufficiency of his complaint and any question of dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) will be determined separately.

Some of the documents attached to Austin's response to the order to show cause include sensitive personal and financial information, including Austin's Social Security number. Rule 5.2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure sets forth certain categories of information that generally cannot be filed in the public record of a federal court proceeding. Although a party can waive protection of his or her own information by filing it in the public record, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(h), the Clerk provisionally filed the attachments to Austin's response under seal as a courtesy, and the Court now ORDERS that they be maintained under seal. Those documents will not be considered for any purpose other than Austin's application to proceed in forma pauperis.

Going forward, if Austin needs to submit information that he does not wish to be available in the public record, he must file a motion to file documents under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. Such a motion must generally establish "compelling reasons" to file documents under

## 

Northern District of California	

United States District Court

seal, except that for filings only "tangentially related to the underlying cause of action," a party
must only show "good cause" for sealing. See generally Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp.,
LLC, 809 F.3d 1092 (9th Cir. 2016). Any documents filed without a motion to file under seal will
be made available in the public record.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 24, 2020

JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge